



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/581,471 | 08/31/2006 | Philip J. Fay | 176/61702 | 3888 |
| 26774 7590 06/24/2008 NIXON PEABODY LLP - PATENT GROUP 1100 CLINTON SQUARE ROCHESTER, NY 14604 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| TSAY, MARSHA M | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 1656 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 06/24/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/581,471

Applicant(s)

FAY ET AL.

Examiner

Marsha M. Tsay

Art Unit

1656

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 April 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

This Office action is in response to Applicants' response to Applicants' election received April 7, 2008. Upon review of the restriction, it appears that the previous restriction requirement was incomplete since claims 13-22 were inadvertently not included in any of the groups. Therefore, a second restriction requirement is set forth below.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, 9, 11-13, 19-22, drawn to a recombinant factor VIII comprising a point mutation in or near at least one calcium binding site of a wild-type factor VIII, wherein the recombinant factor VIII has a specific activity that is higher than that of the wild-type factor VIII.

Group II, claim(s) 23-26, 32-46, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid encoding a recombinant factor VIII protein comprising a point mutation.

Group III, claim(s) 1, 14, drawn to a recombinant factor VIII comprising a point mutation in or near at least one calcium binding site of a wild-type factor VIII and further comprising modified inactivation cleavage sites.

Group IV, claim(s) 1, 15, drawn to a recombinant factor VIII comprising a point mutation in or near at least one calcium binding site of a wild-type factor VIII and further comprising factor IXa and/or factor X binding domains modified to enhance the affinity of the recombinant factor VIII for one or both of factor IXa and factor X.

Group V, claim(s) 1, 16, drawn to a recombinant factor VIII comprising a point mutation in or near at least one calcium binding site of a wild-type factor VIII and further comprising modified sites that enhance secretion of said factor VIII in culture.

Art Unit: 1656

Group VI, claim(s) 1, 17, drawn to a recombinant factor VIII comprising a point mutation in or near at least one calcium binding site of a wild-type factor VIII and further comprising modified serum protein sites that enhance the circulating half-life thereof.

Group VII, claim(s) 1, 18, drawn to a recombinant factor VIII comprising a point mutation in or near at least one calcium binding site of a wild-type factor VIII and further comprising at least one glycosylation recognition sequence that is effective in decreasing antigenicity and/or immunogenicity thereof.

Group VIII, claim(s) 48-52, drawn to a method of treating an animal for hemophilia A, the method comprising administering to an animal exhibiting hemophilia A an effective amount of the recombinant factor VIII.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking Groups I-VIII appears to be that they all relate to a factor VIII protein comprising a point mutation in or near at least one calcium binding site of a wild-type factor VIII.

However, Lollar (US 5859204; previously cited) teaches a modified human factor VIII comprising a point mutation in or at least one calcium binding site of a wild-type factor VIII. On page 12 [0038], the instant specification discloses suitable calcium binding sites that are available for mutation in accordance with the present invention can be located within any one of the A1, A2, A3, C1 and/or C2 domains of the activated wild-type factor VIII. In col. 149, Lollar discloses a modified human factor VIII comprising an amino acid substitution at one or more of position 484, 485, 487, 488, 489, 492, 495, 501, 508, according to SEQ ID NO: 2 (which is human factor VIII as disclosed by Lollar). Since the above positions are within the A2 domain, Lollar teaches a modified factor VIII comprising a point mutation in or near at least one calcium binding site of wild-type factor VIII.

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-VIII does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper

restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoiner in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoiner.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marsha M. Tsay whose telephone number is (571)272-2938. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Art Unit: 1656

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Maryam Monshipouri/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656

June 20, 2008